

Major Delays in the Diagnosis and Management of Tuberculosis Patients in Nepal

ROSHAN KUMAR MAHATO¹, WONGSA LAOHASIRIWONG², KRIANGSAK VAETEEWOOTACHARN³, RAJENDRA KOJU⁴, RATNA BHATTARAI⁵

ABSTRACT

Background: Early diagnosis is a determining factor for spread of tuberculosis. Delay in diagnosis and treatment of tuberculosis geometrically increases spread and infectivity of the disease and is associated with higher risk of mortality.

Aim: The present study aimed to investigate the length of delays in diagnosis and treatment among new pulmonary tuberculosis patients in central development region of Nepal.

Materials and Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted by administration of structured questionnaire interview and reviewing the medical records of the new sputum smear positive pulmonary tuberculosis cases during January–May 2015. Simple random sampling was applied to select samples from 5 districts of 19 districts comprising at least one each from 3 ecological regions of Nepal.

Results: A total of 374 new sputum smear positive pulmonary tuberculosis cases were included in the study. The median patient delay, health system delay, and total delay were 32 days, 3 days and 39.5 days respectively. The unacceptable patients delay was 53.21% (95% CI: 48.12-58.29) of all new patients, whereas it was 26.74% (95% CI: 22.23-31.24) for the unacceptable health system delay and the unacceptable total delay was 62.83% (95% CI: 57.91-67.75).

Conclusion: TB diagnosis and treatment is still a significant problem of Nepal. Majority of unacceptable delays were from patients. Identifying factors influencing delays and developing evidence-based approaches to address those delays will help in advancing tuberculosis prevention and management in low-income settings.

Keywords: Cross-sectional study, DOTS, Health system delay, Patient delay

INTRODUCTION

Globally, one out of three persons is infected with the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* [1,2]. Tuberculosis is one of the major causes of ill health in the world and nearly 40% of the global new cases were found in South East Asian region in 2012 [3]. Simultaneously, the incidence rate of all forms of TB in Nepal is 163/100,000 and 5,000 to 7,000 people die due to tuberculosis each year [3,4]. Nepal is one of the five countries having significant impact of HIV on TB. Early diagnosis and prompt treatment is the only the effective way towards the goal to be free from TB [1,5-8].

Delay in diagnosis is a collective term for patient delay and health system delay. The patient delay refers to the time interval between onset of disease till patients approach the formal health facilities [2,8-12]. Health system delay is the time for complete diagnosis of the disease after patient approaches for formal health care provider [2,8,9,12].

The prompt identification of cases depends on patients recognizing TB symptoms and seeking appropriate health care as well as the capacity of the health care system to diagnose the disease. Delays in diagnosis and treatment of TB have important implications towards the individual and community [12]. At individual level, patients experiencing long delays in initiation of treatment may have an increased risk of developing severe disease and death [8,12,13].

Therefore, understanding the magnitude of the delay can prove to be fruitful for the management of TB and taking an appropriate action for its control in future. The objectives of the study was to investigate all types of delay in diagnosis of a new pulmonary tuberculosis patient in the central development region of Nepal.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethical consideration: The present study was approved by office of the Khon Kaen University ethics committee in human research (Reference No. HE 582071) and institutional review committee, Kathmandu University School of Medical Sciences, Dhulikhel, Nepal (Protocol approved number 08/15). In addition, the informed consent was taken from each of the respondents to protect their autonomy.

Study design

A cross-sectional study was conducted by administering structured questionnaire interview amongst the new smear positive pulmonary tuberculosis cases in the center development region of Nepal. The study was conducted between January-May 2015. The study required two additional interviewers apart from the researcher to collect the data. The interviewers were the paramedics (Health Assistants) who had basic training on medicine and surgery for three years after finishing ten years of schooling. They received a formal training before the start of the survey. We randomly selected at least one district from each geographical region, totally 5 districts were drawn out of the 19 districts from the study region followed by listing the treatment centers in the selected districts. Finally, we selected and interviewed a total of 374 respondents by applying systematic random sampling at each treatment center of the selected districts. New smear positive pulmonary tuberculosis cases above 15 years of age were included in this study. Smear negative, relapse, retreatment, return after defaulter, and the patient those who had history of prior TB were excluded.

The sample size was calculated by using the formula required for determination of sample size for estimating single proportion [14]. By taking a previous study done on delay in diagnosis and treatment in

Uganda [6], which showed 58% proportion of patient delay of more than one month, 95% confidence interval and a margin error of 5%, the sample size for this study was calculated to be 373.84 and our sample size was fixed to be 374. The structured questionnaire was translated to the Nepali language and assessed. The questionnaire included various questions relating to socio-demographics, major symptoms of PTB, duration of first symptom presented the date of first health care visit for diagnosis, the basic knowledge on tuberculosis, attitude and stigmatization. Patient's treatment cards, TB registration books and laboratory register were cross checked to assure the quality of data. The questionnaire was tested by 5 experts for content validity. The attitude and stigmatization parts had high reliability of eight and seven questions respectively. In addition, the questionnaire was pretested before presenting to the study population to make it more clear and valid.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Based on the previous studies, we took \geq 30 days for patients, \geq 7 days for health system and \geq 28 days for total delay as cut off points to dichotomize the sample for identifying the unacceptable delays in diagnosis of TB [6,9]. The categorical data were reported as number and percentage mean, standard deviation, median and range (minimum-maximum) described for the continuous variable. Proportion and their 95% CI had been reported. Responses to questions that assessed TB knowledge, attitude and stigma related to TB were analysed by calculating their percentile score and finally, the responses were categorized into yes/no, good/bad and presence/absence. STATA (Version 13, Stata Corporation, College Station TX) was used for data analysis.

RESULTS

A total of 374 new smear positive pulmonary TB patients were randomly enrolled from 5 districts representing at least one from each 3 ecological region of Central Development Region (CDR), Nepal. The median patient, health system and total delay were 32 (IQR 11-70), 3 (IQR 0-7) and 39.5 (IQR 17-84) days respectively [Table/Fig-1].

The following [Table/Fig-2] described that the proportion of males slightly exceeds the females with a ratio of 1.7:1. The mean age of the patients were 39.68 ± 18.6 SD years with a median age of 35.03 years, minimum 15.07 and maximum of 100.56 years. The highest proportion of the respondents (39.84%) was in their reproductive age (15-29 years). Nearly two third (66.58%) of the respondents were from the urban areas of Nepal, whereas the rest 33.42% were residing in the rural areas. Majority (59.36%) of respondents were non-smokers while 40.64% were current smokers or had just quit. Among smokers, 75.66% smoke ≥ 5 cigarettes per day.

Most of the respondents (78.44%) answered that the TB services were available in <5km of distance from their homes and more than half (55.35%) of them had to walk to reach DOTS center. However, government health facilities were considered by 52.67% of the participants to seek their first advice, private practitioners/ pharmacists/vendors by 42.51% and 4.81% of them visited

Characteristics	Number of days	Delay (%)	95% CI			
Patient delay	199	53.21	48.12-58.29			
Mean(SD)	78.64 (233.94)					
Median (IQR)	32 (11-70)					
Health system delay	100	26.74	22.23-31.24			
Mean(SD)	14.13 (44.32)					
Median (IQR)	3 (0-7)					
Total delay	235	62.83	57.91-67.75			
Mean(SD)	Mean(SD) 92.77 (240.17)					
Median (IQR)	39.5 (17-84)					
[Table/Fig-1]: Characteristics of delay in diagnosis						

Characteristics	Number (%)
Gender	Turnson (70)
Male	234 (62.57)
Female	140 (37.43)
Age (years)	
15–29	149 (39.84)
30–44	85 (22.73)
≥ 45	140 (37.43)
Mean (SD)	39.68 (18.6)
Median (Min: Max)	35.03 (15.07: 100.56)
Residence	
Urban	249 (66.58)
Rural	125 (33.42)
Income (per month in NRs)	
< 5000	35 (11.08)
5000-7500	24 (7.59)
≥ 7500	257 (81.33)
Mean (SD)	27087.91 (46731.45)
Median (Min: Max)	17000 (1000: 380000)
Smoking	11000 (1000. 000000)
Never	222 (59.36)
	. ,
Currently /used to smoke but stop currently	152 (40.64)
Number of cigarettes in a day	(- (
< 5	37 (24.34)
≥ 5	115 (75.66)
Basic knowledge on TB	
No	278 (74.33)
Yes	96 (25.67)
Attitude	
Bad	223 (59.63)
Good	151 (40.37)
Stigma	
Absent	195 (52.14)
Present	179 (47.86)
Distance to reach the TB center	
< 5 KM	291 (78.44)
≥ 5 KM	80 (21.56)
Mean (SD)	4.25 (11.82)
Median (Min: Max)	2 (1:200)
Means of transport	x/
Traveling	167 (44.65)
Walking	207 (55.35)
Centre of first contact	201 (00.00)
	10 (4 01)
Traditional healer/ self-treated	18 (4.81)
Private practitioners	159 (42.51)
Government health facilities	197 (52.67)
[Table/Fig-2]: Characteristics of study popula	ation

traditional healer. Majority of the participants (55.88%) were examined by medical officer, but fewer than half were diagnosed on the basis of sputum examinations (43.05%) and paramedics and chest specialist treated the rest.

In addition, the marital status of the majority of the respondents was married followed by single and widowed [Table/Fig-3]. The highest proportion of the respondents (32.89%) never attended formal education, 18.45% finished primary school, 28.61% completed secondary school, and remaining 20.05% attended higher than the college level [Table/Fig-4]. Nearly one fourth of the tuberculosis



[Table/Fig-3]: Marital status of the respondents





[Table/Fig-5]: Occupational status of the patients



patients (24.87%) were farmers and 26.74% were jobless [Table/ Fig-5]. Cough was the most frequently reported symptom (69.52%) followed by fever (14.97) and 4.55% weight loss [Table/Fig-6].

Prevalence of delays

The prevalence of patient delay was 53.21% (95% CI: 48.12-58.29) [Table/Fig-1]. The given [Table/Fig-7] illustrated that there was high prevalence of patient delay observed among those who lived in rural areas (65.6%, 95% CI: 57.16-74.04). The patients who were unable to achieve the primary education have 62.60 % (95% CI: 53.93-71.27) of patient delay. The farmers seemed to have more prevalence of 65.59% (95% CI: 55.75-75.43) patient delay in comparison with other occupations.

In addition, 70.27% (95% CI: 54.82-85.72) of the patient delay has been observed among the respondents, who smoked < 5 cigarettes per day. The patients, who lived more than 5 km far from the DOTS centers had the high prevalence of patient delay (71.25%, 95% CI: 61.11-81.39). Furthermore, the respondents, who used any mode of transportations and seek care from chest specialist have observed to be increasing the prevalence of patient delay with 62.87% (95% Cl: 55.47-70.28) and 66.67% (95% Cl: 55.97-77.36) respectively.

However, the patient who had completed their secondary education were found to have less patient delay (42.06%, 95% CI: 32.56-51.56) in comparison with those who were illiterate. Similarly, those who have an access of DOTS center within walking distance were observed to have less prevalence of patient delay (45.41%, 95% CI: 38.57-52.25).

This study revealed that the proportion of health system delay was 26.74% (95% CI: 22.23-31.24) [Table/Fig-1]. The increased prevalence was observed among the patient who were unemployed (45.0%, 95% CI: 35.08-54.92) and those who don't have basic knowledge on tuberculosis (37.50%, 95% Cl: 27.64-47.36). However, farmers (18.28%, 95% CI: 10.28-26.28) and labour workers (12.90%, 95% CI: 0.04-25.40) had less prevalence of health system delay [Table/Fig-7].

DISCUSSION

The study aimed to understand the major delays in diagnosis of tuberculosis in central development region of Nepal. This study was conducted in 5 districts of that region and it highlights the unacceptable delay from onset of cough to inition TB diagnosis. We found that the median patient's delay is 32 (IQR 11-70) days. The findings are similar with the several other studies that were conducted in Angola [15], Malaysia [16], and Uganda [6] showing median patient's delay of 3-4 weeks. On the other hand, previous study conducted in Nepal [9] found 50 days for median patient delay. This might be because the study was conducted six years ago in 2009 and it was conducted in only one district of far western development region of Nepal. The changes in trend signify that the knowledge and socio-economic status of the people has been improved simultaneously with increasing literacy rate as well as expansion of DOTS facilities within these years. However, the studies revealed that the patient delay in low and middle income countries varies from 4.9 days in Gambia to 162 days in Tanzania [2,15].

The median health system delay was very short, i.e. only 3 (IQR 0-7) days, suggesting that referrals and diagnosis were swift whenever a person with TB interacted with the health system. Our result is correlated as found in other studies [8,15,17]. However, some other studies in Malawi [12] and Mozambigue [13] revealed 59 (IQR 26-108) days and 150 (IQR 91-240) days as health system delays respectively. Even though the length of median health system delayed is shorter in this study, the magnitude of unacceptable (≥ 7 days) health system delay was 26.74%. Our result is guite near to the study conducted in Croatia which had 30% of health system delay [7]. Because of the inappropriate management of the DOTS

Roshan Kumar Mahato et al., Major Delays in the Diagnosis and Management of Tuberculosis

		Patient o	delay		Health sys	stem delay
Characteristics	n	(%)	95%CI	n	(%)	95%CI
Gender						
Male	126	53.85	47.41-60.28	61	26.07	20.40-31.73
Female	73	52.14	43.76-60.52	39	27.85	20.34-35.38
Age (years)						
15–29	76	51.01	42.89-59.13	50	33.56	25.89-41.22
30–44	44	51.76	40.92-62.61	21	24.71	15.35-34.06
≥ 45	79	56.43	48.11-64.74	29	20.71	13.92-27.51
Residence						
Urban	117	46.99	41.59-53.23	72	28.92	23.24-34.59
Rural	82	65.6	57.16-74.04	28	22.4	14.99-29.81
Education						1
Illiterate/read & write	77	62.60	53.93-71.27	27	21.95	14.53-29.37
Primary	40	57.97	46.03-69.92	13	18.84	09.38-28.30
Secondary	45	42.06	32.56-51.56	35	32.71	23.68-41.74
University	37	49.33	37.75-60.91	25	33.33	22.41-44.25
Occupation						
Agriculture	61	65.59	55.75-75.43	17	18.28	10.28-26.28
Housewife	20	47.62	31.87-63.37	07	16.67	04.91-28.42
Service	22	44.00	29.75-58.25	10	20.00	08.51-31.48
Business	28	48.28	35.02-61.53	17	29.31	17.24-41.38
Unemployed	52	52.00	42.04-61.96	45	45.00	35.08-54.92
Labour	16	51.61	32.98-70.25	04	12.90	0.04-25.40
Income (per mo				01	12.00	0.01 20.10
< 5000	24	68.57	52.39-84.75	7	20.0	06.06-33.94
5000-7500	14	58.33	37.07-79.59	, 05	20.83	03.32-38.35
≥ 7500	130	50.58	44.43-56.74	70	20.03	21.76-32.72
Smoking	100	50.50	44.40-00.74	10	21.24	21.10-02.12
Never	111	50.0	43.37-56.63	62	27.92	21.98-33.88
Currently /used	88			38		18.04-31.96
to smoke but stop currently	00	57.89	49.96-65.83	30	25.0	10.04-31.90
Number of ciga	rettes i	n a day (n=	=152)			
< 5	26	70.27	54.82-85.72	8	21.62	07.71-35.54
≥ 5	62	53.91	44.66-63.16	30	26.09	17.94-34.23
Symptoms pres	ent bef	oro diagn	neie			
		ore ulayin	5313			
Cough	135	51.92	45.81-58.04	72	27.69	22.22-33.17
Cough Fever				72 12	27.69 21.43	
	135	51.92	45.81-58.04			10.34-32.52
Fever	135 32	51.92 57.14	45.81-58.04 43.77-70.52	12	21.43	10.34-32.52
Fever Loss of weight	135 32 09	51.92 57.14 52.94	45.81-58.04 43.77-70.52 26.49-79.39	12 5	21.43 29.41	22.22-33.17 10.34-32.52 05.26-53.56 0.08-39.21 11.35-55.21
Fever Loss of weight Haemoptysis	135 32 09 10 13	51.92 57.14 52.94 50.00 61.90	45.81-58.04 43.77-70.52 26.49-79.39 25.99-74.01	12 5 4	21.43 29.41 20.00	10.34-32.52 05.26-53.56 0.08-39.21
Fever Loss of weight Haemoptysis Chest pain	135 32 09 10 13	51.92 57.14 52.94 50.00 61.90	45.81-58.04 43.77-70.52 26.49-79.39 25.99-74.01	12 5 4	21.43 29.41 20.00	10.34-32.52 05.26-53.56 0.08-39.21 11.35-55.21
Fever Loss of weight Haemoptysis Chest pain Basic knowledg	135 32 09 10 13 ge on TI	51.92 57.14 52.94 50.00 61.90 3	45.81-58.04 43.77-70.52 26.49-79.39 25.99-74.01 39.25-84.56	12 5 4 7	21.43 29.41 20.00 33.33	10.34-32.52 05.26-53.56 0.08-39.21 11.35-55.21 18.04-28.00
Fever Loss of weight Haemoptysis Chest pain Basic knowledg No	135 32 09 10 13 ge on TI 148	51.92 57.14 52.94 50.00 61.90 3 53.24	45.81-58.04 43.77-70.52 26.49-79.39 25.99-74.01 39.25-84.56 47.34-59.14	12 5 4 7 64	21.43 29.41 20.00 33.33 23.02	10.34-32.52 05.26-53.56 0.08-39.21 11.35-55.21 18.04-28.00
Fever Loss of weight Haemoptysis Chest pain Basic knowledg No Yes	135 32 09 10 13 ge on TI 148	51.92 57.14 52.94 50.00 61.90 3 53.24 53.13	45.81-58.04 43.77-70.52 26.49-79.39 25.99-74.01 39.25-84.56 47.34-59.14	12 5 4 7 64	21.43 29.41 20.00 33.33 23.02	10.34-32.52 05.26-53.56 0.08-39.21 11.35-55.21 18.04-28.00 27.64-47.36
Fever Loss of weight Haemoptysis Chest pain Basic knowledg No Yes Attitude Bad	135 32 09 10 13 ge on Ti 148 51	51.92 57.14 52.94 50.00 61.90 3 53.24 53.13 51.12	45.81-58.04 43.77-70.52 26.49-79.39 25.99-74.01 39.25-84.56 47.34-59.14 42.96-63.29 44.51-57.73	12 5 4 7 64 36 63	21.43 29.41 20.00 33.33 23.02 37.50 28.25	10.34-32.52 05.26-53.56 0.08-39.21 11.35-55.21 18.04-28.00 27.64-47.36 22.29-34.21
Fever Loss of weight Haemoptysis Chest pain Basic knowledg No Yes Attitude Bad Good	135 32 09 10 13 ge on TI 148 51	51.92 57.14 52.94 50.00 61.90 3 53.24 53.13	45.81-58.04 43.77-70.52 26.49-79.39 25.99-74.01 39.25-84.56 47.34-59.14 42.96-63.29	12 5 4 7 64 36	21.43 29.41 20.00 33.33 23.02 37.50	10.34-32.52 05.26-53.56 0.08-39.21 11.35-55.21 18.04-28.00
Fever Loss of weight Haemoptysis Chest pain Basic knowledg No Yes Attitude Bad Good Stigma	135 32 09 10 13 ge on TI 148 51 114 85	51.92 57.14 52.94 50.00 61.90 3 53.24 53.13 51.12 56.29	45.81-58.04 43.77-70.52 26.49-79.39 25.99-74.01 39.25-84.56 47.34-59.14 42.96-63.29 44.51-57.73 48.29-64.29	12 5 4 7 64 36 63 37	21.43 29.41 20.00 33.33 23.02 37.50 28.25 24.50	10.34-32.52 05.26-53.56 0.08-39.21 11.35-55.21 18.04-28.00 27.64-47.36 22.29-34.21 17.56-31.44
Fever Loss of weight Haemoptysis Chest pain Basic knowledg No Yes Attitude Bad Good Stigma Absent	135 32 09 10 13 36 on TI 148 51 114 85 98	51.92 57.14 52.94 50.00 61.90 3 53.24 53.13 51.12 56.29 50.26	45.81-58.04 43.77-70.52 26.49-79.39 25.99-74.01 39.25-84.56 47.34-59.14 42.96-63.29 44.51-57.73 48.29-64.29	12 5 4 7 64 36 63 37 55	21.43 29.41 20.00 33.33 23.02 37.50 28.25 24.50	10.34-32.52 05.26-53.56 0.08-39.21 11.35-55.21 18.04-28.00 27.64-47.36 22.29-34.21 17.56-31.44 21.83-34.58
Fever Loss of weight Haemoptysis Chest pain Basic knowledg No Yes Attitude Bad Good Stigma Absent Present	135 32 09 10 13 96 on TI 148 51 114 85 98 101	51.92 57.14 52.94 50.00 61.90 3 53.24 53.13 51.12 56.29 50.26 50.26 56.42	45.81-58.04 43.77-70.52 26.49-79.39 25.99-74.01 39.25-84.56 47.34-59.14 42.96-63.29 44.51-57.73 48.29-64.29 43.18-57.34 49.09-63.76	12 5 4 7 64 36 63 37	21.43 29.41 20.00 33.33 23.02 37.50 28.25 24.50	10.34-32.52 05.26-53.56 0.08-39.21 11.35-55.21 18.04-28.00 27.64-47.36 22.29-34.21 17.56-31.44 21.83-34.58
Fever Loss of weight Haemoptysis Chest pain Basic knowledg No Yes Attitude Bad Good Stigma Absent Present Distance to rea	135 32 09 10 13 ge on TI 148 51 114 85 98 101 ch the 1	51.92 57.14 52.94 50.00 61.90 3 53.24 53.13 51.12 56.29 50.26 56.42 B center	45.81-58.04 43.77-70.52 26.49-79.39 25.99-74.01 39.25-84.56 47.34-59.14 42.96-63.29 44.51-57.73 48.29-64.29 43.18-57.34 49.09-63.76 (n=371)	12 5 4 7 64 36 63 37 55 45	21.43 29.41 20.00 33.33 23.02 37.50 28.25 24.50 28.21 28.21 25.14	10.34-32.52 05.26-53.56 0.08-39.21 11.35-55.21 18.04-28.00 27.64-47.36 22.29-34.21 17.56-31.44 21.83-34.58 18.72-31.56
Fever Loss of weight Haemoptysis Chest pain Basic knowledg No Yes Attitude Bad Good Stigma Absent Present Distance to read < 5 KM	135 32 09 10 13 ge on Tl 148 51 114 85 98 101 ch the 1 141	51.92 57.14 52.94 50.00 61.90 3 53.24 53.13 51.12 56.29 50.26 56.42 B center 48.45	45.81-58.04 43.77-70.52 26.49-79.39 25.99-74.01 39.25-84.56 47.34-59.14 42.96-63.29 44.51-57.73 48.29-64.29 43.18-57.34 49.09-63.76 (n=371) 42.68-54.23	12 5 4 7 64 36 63 37 55 45 80	21.43 29.41 20.00 33.33 23.02 37.50 28.25 24.50 28.21 28.21 25.14	10.34-32.52 05.26-53.56 0.08-39.21 11.35-55.21 18.04-28.00 27.64-47.36 22.29-34.21 17.56-31.44 21.83-34.58 18.72-31.56
Fever Loss of weight Haemoptysis Chest pain Basic knowledge No Yes Attitude Bad Good Stigma Absent Present Distance to readom < 5 KM	135 32 09 10 13 96 on TI 148 51 114 85 98 101 ch the T 141 57	51.92 57.14 52.94 50.00 61.90 3 53.24 53.13 51.12 56.29 50.26 56.42 B center	45.81-58.04 43.77-70.52 26.49-79.39 25.99-74.01 39.25-84.56 47.34-59.14 42.96-63.29 44.51-57.73 48.29-64.29 43.18-57.34 49.09-63.76 (n=371)	12 5 4 7 64 36 63 37 55 45	21.43 29.41 20.00 33.33 23.02 37.50 28.25 24.50 28.21 28.21 25.14	10.34-32.52 05.26-53.56 0.08-39.21 11.35-55.21 18.04-28.00 27.64-47.36 22.29-34.21 17.56-31.44 21.83-34.58
Fever Loss of weight Haemoptysis Chest pain Basic knowledg No Yes Attitude Bad Good Stigma Absent Present Distance to read < 5 KM	135 32 09 10 13 96 on TI 148 51 114 85 98 101 ch the T 141 57	51.92 57.14 52.94 50.00 61.90 3 53.24 53.13 51.12 56.29 50.26 56.42 B center 48.45	45.81-58.04 43.77-70.52 26.49-79.39 25.99-74.01 39.25-84.56 47.34-59.14 42.96-63.29 44.51-57.73 48.29-64.29 43.18-57.34 49.09-63.76 (n=371) 42.68-54.23	12 5 4 7 64 36 63 37 55 45 80	21.43 29.41 20.00 33.33 23.02 37.50 28.25 24.50 28.21 28.21 25.14	10.34-32.52 05.26-53.56 0.08-39.21 11.35-55.21 18.04-28.00 27.64-47.36 22.29-34.21 17.56-31.44 21.83-34.58 18.72-31.56

Centre of first contact						
Traditional healer/ self- treated	13	72.22	49.30-95.14	9	50.00	24.41-75.59
Private practitioners	79	49.69	41.83-57.54	38	23.90	17.19-30.60
Government health facilities	107	54.31	47.29-61.33	53	26.90	20.66-33.15
Categories of HCP for TB diagnosis						
Paramedics	35	40.23	29.72-50.74	24	27.59	18.01-37.17
Medical officer	112	53.59	46.77-60.40	55	26.32	20.29-32.34
Specialist	52	66.67	55.97-77.36	21	26.92	16.86-36.99
Method of TB diagnosis						
Sputum examination	80	49.69	41.88-57.49	48	29.81	22.67-36.96
X-ray	55	52.38	42.67-62.09	29	27.62	18.92-36.31
Advanced technique	64	59.26	49.84-68.68	23	21.29	13.45-29.14
[Table/Fig-7]: Prevalence of patient delay (PD) and health system delay by different independent variables and their 95% Cl						

facilities, studies have shown that the health system delay varies from 47.8% in Malawi [12] to 88% in the study conducted in Uganda [6].

In this study, gender wise the proportion of males exceeded the females with the ratio of 1.7:1. This is quite similar with the national (2.2:1) and regional figures of the gender wise distribution of TB [3,4]. Similar higher prevalence of TB in males has been reported in other studies as well [6,18]. The higher risk of TB in males may be due to higher exposure of risk factors to males. The distribution of population by age also showed that the majority was in the reproductive age group (15-29 years) [15]. More than 60% of them were between age group of 15-44. The mean age of the study population was 39.68 years, which is also similar with the trend in general in developing countries like Ethiopia and Uganda [6,19]. According to the South Asian regional report, all new cases detected in the region in 2012 were among the young adults, particularly in the reproductive age group [3].

As per this study, two third of the respondents were married. Nepal demographic health survey, 2014 revealed that the 76% women and 64% male were currently married [20]. One third of the respondents participated in this study were form the rural areas of Nepal as the central development region has more urban area than that of other region i.e. 36% [20]. Therefore, the prevalence of patient delay was high among them and those who were illiterate. In addition, the proportion of patient delay was more likely to increase among farmers. This clearly indicates very poor socio economic condition among the study population. It is evident that tuberculosis is most common in low socio-economic class, immigrants, homeless and refugees [21].

Furthermore, the distance of the DOTS center of 5 km from where they lived is contributing in an unacceptable patient delay. Even though, the DOTS centers are continuously expanding since 2005, it has not reached proximately to the TB patient in significant manner with assured quality. Study of the Ibadan, Nigeria, shows that the far distance of health services had 22.2% chances of prolonging delay [10,22]. Moreover, the means of transportation was also equally responsible for the rising patient delay. This might be due to the less availability of transportation services in mountain and hilly region and the travel cost is significantly higher. However, those patients who had completed their secondary education and got the facilities of DOTS center in the walking distance were found to have less proportion of patient delay.

On other hand, the prevalence of health system delay significantly raised among those who were unemployed and having poor knowledge on TB. This is again recommended that the socioeconomic status of the country needs to be improved. The awareness on TB will reduce the burden in significant manner. However, surprisingly the proportion of health system delay was seen low among the farmers (18.28%, 95% CI: 10.28-26.28). This might be due to the improving the economy of farmer in terai region. Therefore, this study indicate that the proportion of delay in diagnosis of TB varies according to the regions more in mountain, followed by hilly and less in terai region.

LIMITATION

As, the information of the study depended on self-reports based on recall history and individual variations in perception of disease. The study, however, planned to include only the cases which had been recently diagnosed to minimize the recall bias. To overcome such limitations, questionnaire was pre-tested to make sure that all questions were understandable. In addition to that, the interviewer recruited for the study received training before the start of the study. The technical and field trainings were given as per requirement of the study to the recruited interviewer. However, this study only described the prevalence of delays, so we can generalised it to the population to make them aware on the prevalence of major delays and take appropriate action to reduce such delays.

CONCLUSION

This study revealed a long patient delay among the patient with pulmonary TB. Though the health system delay was short, it shows that Nepal still has a significant problem of TB diagnosis and treatment. Most of the Patient delay was caused due to the inaccessible health facilities, poor knowledge on TB. Identifying major delays and developing evidence-based approaches to address those delays will help in advancing tuberculosis prevention and management in low-income settings like Nepal. This will also be the key to success for reducing TB burden. Further study should be conducted to see the relation between longer pre-treatment delays and its effect on treatment outcome on the already studied patients. The magnitude of individuals having suspected symptoms of TB but did not seek treatment should be explored to better understand the impact of diagnostic delay in the case finding activity in the region.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank the of the Faculty of Public Health Khon Kaen University and the Faculty of Nursing for supporting this study as well as colleague and seniors of the Faculty of Public Health for their warm cooperation.

REFERENCES

 Soomro MH, Qadeer E, Mørkve O. Barriers in the management of tuberculosis in rawalpindi, pakistan: a qualitative study. *National Research Institute of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease, Iran.* 2013;12(4):28-34.

- [2] Sreeramareddy CT, Panduru KV, Menten J, Van den Ende J. Time delays in diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis: a systematic review of literature. *BMC infectious diseases*. 2009;9(1):91.
 [3] SEARO W, Tuberculosis control in the South East Asia Region Appuel Resort
- [3] SEARO W. Tuberculosis control in the South-East Asia Region Annual Report Indraprastha Estate, Mahatma Gandhi Marg, New Delhi 110 002: World Health Organization, Regional Office for South-East Asia, 2014 2014. Report No.: ISBN 978-92-9022-451-58.
- [4] National Tuberculosis Programme N. Annual Report on Tuberculosis Thimi, Bhaktpur National Tuberculosis Center 2014 March 2014. Report No.
- [5] Storla DG, Yimer S, Bjune GA. A systematic review of delay in the diagnosis and treatment of tuberculosis. *BMC public health*. 2008;8:15.
- [6] Buregyeya E, Criel B, Nuwaha F, Colebunders R. Delays in diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary tuberculosis in Wakiso and Mukono districts, Uganda. *BMC public health*. 2014;14:586.
- [7] Jurcev-Savicevic A, Mulic R, Kozul K, Ban B, Valic J, Bacun-Ivcek L, et al. Health system delay in pulmonary tuberculosis treatment in a country with an intermediate burden of tuberculosis: a cross-sectional study. *BMC public health*. 2013;1(13):250.
- [8] Demissie M, Lindtjorn B, Berhane Y. Patient and health service delay in the diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis in Ethiopia. BMC Public Health. 2002;2:23.
- [9] Basnet R, Hinderaker SG, Enarson D, Malla P, Morkve O. Delay in the diagnosis of tuberculosis in Nepal. *BMC public health*. 2009;9:236.
- [10] EJECKAM AAFaCC. Determinants of patient delay in seeking treatment among pulmonary tuberculosis cases in a government specialist hospital in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Tanzania Journal of Health Research*. 2010;12(2).
- [11] ER Wandwalo OM. Delay in tuberculosis case-finding and treatment in Mwanza, Tanzania. *The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease*. 2000;4(2):133-38.
- [12] Makwakwa L, Sheu ML, Chiang CY, Lin SL, Chang PW. Patient and heath system delays in the diagnosis and treatment of new and retreatment pulmonary tuberculosis cases in Malawi. *BMC infectious diseases*. 2014;1(14):132.
- [13] Saifodine A, Gudo PS, Sidat M, Black J. Patient and health system delay among patients with pulmonary tuberculosis in Beira city, Mozambique. *BMC public health*. 2013;1(13):559.
- [14] Hahn GJ, Meeker WQ. Statistical intervals: a guide for practitioners: John Wiley & Sons; 2011. Pp. 416.
- [15] Lusignani LS, Quaglio G, Atzori A, Nsuka J, Grainger R, Palma MDC, et al. Factors associated with patient and health care system delay in diagnosis for tuberculosis in the province of Luanda, Angola. *BMC infectious diseases*. 2013;13(1):168.
- [16] Chang CT, Esterman A. Diagnosis delay among pulmonary tuberculosis patient in Sarawak, Malaysia: a cross-sectional Study. *Rural and Remote Health*. 2007;7:667.
- [17] Kansiime C, Kiwuwa SM, Levi M, Asiimwe BB, Katamba A. Health service delay among pulmonary tuberculosis patients presenting to a National Referral Hospital, Kampala, Uganda: a cross sectional study. *The Pan African medical journal*. 2013;15:84.
- [18] Maciel E, Golub J, Peres R, Hadad D, Fávero J, Molino L, et al. Delay in diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis at a primary health clinic in Vitoria, Brazil. The international journal of tuberculosis and lung disease. 2010;14(11):1403.
- [19] Yimer S, Bjune G, Alene G. Diagnostic and treatment delay among pulmonary tuberculosis patients in Ethiopia: a cross sectional study. *BMC infectious diseases*. 2005;5:112.
- [20] Ministry of Health and Population NE, and ICF International. Nepal Demographic and Health Survey 2011. Kathmandu, Nepa: 2012 March 2012. Report No.
- [21] Wang W, Jiang Q, Abdullah AS, Xu B. Barriers in accessing to tuberculosis care among non-residents in Shanghai: a descriptive study of delays in diagnosis. *European journal of public health*. 2007;17(5):419-23.
- [22] Ibrahim LM, Hadejia IS, Nguku P, Dankoli R, Waziri NE, Akhimien MO, et al. Factors associated with interruption of treatment among Pulmonary Tuberculosis patients in Plateau State, Nigeria. 2011. *The Pan African medical journal*. 2014;17:78.

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

- 1. Faculty, Department of Public Health, MPH (International Health) Program, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand.
- 2. Associate Professor, Department of Public Health, Board Committee of Research and Training Centre for Enhancing Quality of Life of Working Age People (REQW), Faculty, Department of Public Health, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand.
- Faculty, Department of Public Health, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand.
- 4. Professor, Department of Internal Medicine, Dhulikhel Hospital, Kathmandu University Hospital, Nepal.
- Senior Monitoring and Evaluation Officer, National TB Center, Thimi, Kathmandu, Nepal.

NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr. Wongsa Laohasiriwong,

Associate Professor, Department of Public Health, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, 40002, Thailand. E-mail : drwongsa@gmail.com

FINANCIAL OR OTHER COMPETING INTERESTS: This work was financially supported by training center for enhancing quality of life of working-age people, Faculty of Nursing, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand.

Date of Submission: Aug 17, 2015 Date of Peer Review: Aug 31, 2015 Date of Acceptance: Sep 03, 2015 Date of Publishing: Oct 01, 2015